

HERB LAYER BIOMASS OF SOME BROAD-LEAVED FOREST ECOSYSTEMS NEAR SKALICA (BIELE KARPATY MTS)

JOZEF KOLLÁR, FERDINAND KUBÍČEK, VOJTECH ŠIMONOVÍČ,
ROBERT KANKA

Institute of Landscape Ecology of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Štefánikova 3, P.O.Box 254, 814 99 Bratislava, Slovak Republic; e-mail: j.kollar@ savba.sk

Abstract

Kollár J., Kubíček F., Šimonovič V., Kanka R.: Herb layer biomass of some broad-leaved forest ecosystems near Skalica (Biele Karpaty Mts). *Ekológia* (Bratislava), Vol. 28, No. 3, p. 225–233, 2009.

The paper is focused upon detail production-ecological analysis of the total herb layer biomass (above- and belowground) in four broad-leaved forest ecosystems (*Carici pilosae-Carpinetum*, *Carici pilosae-Fagetum*, *Aceri-Carpinetum* and *Stellario-Alnetum*) near Skalica (Zlatnícka dolina valley) in the Biele Karpaty Mts. Results (Table 2) are discussed and compared with other ones obtained from similar forest ecosystems of Slovakia.

Key words: broad-leaved forest ecosystem, herb layer biomass

Introduction

The aim of this contribution is to provide basic information on the ecology and herb layer of several broad-leaved forest ecosystems in the vicinity of the city of Skalica in the Zlatnícka dolina valley belonging to the Biele Karpaty Mts. Oak (*Quercus petraea* agg.) and hornbeam (*Carpinus betulus*) are dominating in the lower parts of the valley (300–400 m a.s.l.) with a mixture of various broad-leaved trees – especially maple (*Acer pseudoplatanus*), lime tree (*Tilia cordata*) and some others. Along streams black alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) prevails absolutely. Beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) predominates in the upper parts of the valley (above 400 m a.s.l.). From the forest management point of view these forests represent the productive broad-leaved ones with high wood quality (mainly oak and beech).

Methods

Phytocoenological relevés in the field and classification of the forest communities (Table 1) were done according to the method of the Zurich-Montpellier school (Braun-Blanquet 1964), the names of plants follow Dostál, Červenka (1991, 1992). Soil conditions were characterized on the base of selected profiles. Estimation of the herb

Table 1. Phytocoenological table of the observed plots.

Relevé number	1	2	3	4
Type	SA	AC	CCm	CF
Trees				
<i>Carpinus betulus</i>	+	4	.	r
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	1	+	.	.
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	+	.	.	+
<i>Tilia cordata</i>	.	+	.	+
<i>Quercus petraea</i> agg.	.	.	4	+
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>	3	.	.	4
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>
<i>Betula pendula</i>	.	r	.	.
Shrubs				
<i>Sambucus nigra</i>	+	.	.	.
<i>Tilia cordata</i>	.	.	+	.
<i>Carpinus betulus</i>	.	.	+	.
Herbs				
<i>Impatiens parviflora</i>	+	+	1	2
<i>Galium odoratum</i>	+	1	1	+
<i>Mercurialis perennis</i>	4	+	.	1
<i>Brachypodium sylvaticum</i>	+	+	+	.
<i>Tithymalus amygdaloïdes</i>	r	1	.	+
<i>Ulmus glabra</i>	r	r	.	+
<i>Crataegus monogyna</i>	r	.	+	r
<i>Hacquetia epipactis</i>	r	+	+	.
<i>Carex pilosa</i>	.	3	+	4
<i>Viola reichenbachiana</i>	.	1	+	+
<i>Melica uniflora</i>	.	+	3	+
<i>Tilia cordata</i>	.	+	+	+
<i>Mycelis muralis</i>	.	r	+	+
<i>Galeobdolon luteum</i> agg.	+	+	.	+
<i>Asarum europaeum</i>	1	3	.	.
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	+	1	.	.
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	+	+	.	.
<i>Polygonatum multiflorum</i>	+	+	.	.
<i>Paris quadrifolia</i>	+	+	.	.
<i>Dentaria bulbifera</i>	+	+	.	.
<i>Arum alpinum</i>	+	+	.	.
<i>Aegopodium podagraria</i>	+	+	.	.
<i>Actaea spicata</i>	+	+	.	.
<i>Aconitum lycoctonum</i>	+	r	.	.
<i>Scrophularia nodosa</i>	r	.	r	.
<i>Oxalis acetosella</i>	.	+	.	+
<i>Hordelymus europaeus</i>	.	+	.	+
<i>Carpinus betulus</i>	.	.	+	+
<i>Ajuga reptans</i>	.	+	.	r
<i>Rubus fruticosus</i> agg.	.	+	.	r
<i>Daphne mezereum</i>	.	r	.	r
<i>Lathyrus vernus</i>	.	.	+	r
<i>Lathyrus niger</i>	.	.	+	r
<i>Melica nutans</i>	.	+	1	.
<i>Dactylis polygama</i>	.	+	1	.
<i>Galium sylvaticum</i>	.	+	+	.
<i>Pulmonaria obscura</i>	.	+	+	.
<i>Fragaria vesca</i>	.	+	+	.
<i>Acer campestre</i>	.	+	r	.

Table 1. (Continued)

<i>Geum urbanum</i>	.	+	r	.
<i>Cephalanthera longifolia</i>	.	r	+	.
<i>Rosa canina</i> agg.	.	r	r	.
<i>Salvia glutinosa</i>	1	.	.	.
<i>Stachys sylvatica</i>	1	.	.	.
<i>Glechoma hederacea</i>	+	.	.	.
<i>Urtica dioica</i>	+	.	.	.
<i>Circaea lutetiana</i>	+	.	.	.
<i>Clematis vitalba</i>	+	.	.	.
<i>Rubus caesius</i>	+	.	.	.
<i>Impatiens noli-tangere</i>	+	.	.	.
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>	+	.	.	.
<i>Sambucus nigra</i>	r	.	.	.
<i>Arctium sp.</i>	r	.	.	.
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>	.	.	.	+
<i>Festuca altissima</i>	.	.	.	+
<i>Cardamine impatiens</i>	.	.	.	r
<i>Primula elatior</i>	.	+	.	.
<i>Convallaria majalis</i>	.	+	.	.
<i>Campanula trachelium</i>	.	+	.	.
<i>Maianthemum bifolium</i>	.	+	.	.
<i>Calamagrostis arundinacea</i>	.	.	1	.
<i>Hedera helix</i>	.	+	.	.
<i>Orchis pallens</i>	.	r	.	.
<i>Euonymus europaeus</i>	.	r	.	.
<i>Neottia nidus-avis</i>	.	r	.	.
<i>Epipactis helleborine</i> agg.	.	r	.	.
<i>Poa nemoralis</i>	.	.	1	.
<i>Carex digitata</i>	.	.	+	.
<i>Alliaria petiolata</i>	.	.	+	.
<i>Carex montana</i>	.	.	+	.
<i>Melittis melissophyllum</i>	.	.	+	.
<i>Ligustrum vulgare</i>	.	.	+	.
<i>Digitalis grandiflora</i>	.	.	+	.
<i>Quercus petraea</i> agg.	.	.	+	.
<i>Sympyrum tuberosum</i>	.	.	+	.
<i>Vincetoxicum hirundinaria</i>	.	.	+	.
<i>Festuca heterophylla</i>	.	.	+	.
<i>Campanula persicifolia</i>	.	.	+	.
<i>Hieracium racemosum</i>	.	.	r	.
<i>Hieracium lachenalii</i>	.	.	r	.
<i>Platanthera bifolia</i>	.	.	r	.
<i>Ranunculus auricomus</i> s.lat.	.	.	r	.
<i>Lapsana communis</i>	.	.	r	.
<i>Clinopodium vulgare</i>	.	.	r	.
<i>Chaerophyllum temulum</i>	.	.	r	.

Abbreviations: SA – *Stellario-Alnetum*, AC – *Aceri-Carpinetum*, CCm – *Carici pilosae-Carpinetum melicetosum*, CF – *Carici pilosae-Fagetum*

Head to the table:

Relevé number, Date (year/month/day), Relevé area (m²), Altitude (m), Aspect (degrees), Slope, (degrees), Cover tree layer (%), Cover shrub layer (%), Cover herb layer (%), Height (high) tree layer (m), Locality, Longitude, Latitude

1. 20060614, 240, 306, 0, 0, 65, 2, 100, 20, Biele Karpaty, Zlatnícka dolina, 17°18'13.2, 48°49'13.1"

2. 20060621, 400, 324, 90, 10, 65, 0, 70, 0, Biele Karpaty, Zlatnícka dolina, 17°19'38.3, 48°50'05.6"

3. 20060621, 300, ~, 315, 2, 60, 5, 90, 20, Biele Karpaty, Zlatnícka dolina, 17°19'03.5, 48°50'29.7"

4. 20060614, 400, 565, 180, 2, 65, 0, 70, 25, Biele Karpaty, Zlatnícka dolina, 18°21'10, 48°48'40.8"

layer biomass (aboveground-belowground) was made on selected sample plots applying the methods of indirect sampling (Kubíček, Brechtl, 1970) modified for non-recurrent sampling (Kubíček, Jurko, 1975; Kubíček, Šomšák, 1982). Geographical coordinates are listed in WGS 84 system.

Characteristic of the sampled vegetation

1. *Carici pilosae-Carpinetum melicetosum* (Klika ex Futák 1974) Neuhäusl in Moravec et al. 1982 (*Fageto-Quercetum* according to Zlatník (1959))

Site condition

The unit is typical for well-lighted slopes and plateaus, where Cambisols on the flysch substrate are developed.

Floristic composition

The tree layer is formed by oak and hornbeam (*Quercus petraea* agg. and *Carpinus betulus*). The shrub layer is poor and made of the species forming the tree layer. The herb layer is a mixture of the mesophilous species, namely *Dactylis polygama*, *Carex pilosa*, *Galium odoratum*, *Carex montana* etc. and species indicating higher light income (*Melica uniflora*, *Poa nemoralis*, *Vincetoxicum hirundinaria*).

2. *Carici pilosae-Fagetum* Oberdorfer 1957 (*Querceto-Fagetum* according to Zlatník (1959))

Site condition

Such forests are typical for higher altitudes, both slopes and plateaus, where Cambisols on the flysch substrate are developed

Floristic composition

The unit includes monodominant beech forests. As they contact oak-hornbeam forest, there is also some admixture of *Carpinus betulus* and *Quercus petraea* agg. High ratio of scree species is indicated by *Acer pseudoplatanus* and *Tilia cordata* (in the herb layer also by *Mercurialis perennis*). The herb layer is made of mesophilous forest species, first of all *Carex pilosa* accompanied by e.g. *Hordelymus europaeus*, *Tithymalus amygdalooides*, *Viola reichenbachiana* etc. The invasion of *Impatiens parviflora* is obvious, too.

3. *Aceri-Carpinetum* Klika 1941 (*Tilieto-Aceretum* according to Zlatník (1959))

Site condition

The site has scree-like character, where Cambisols on the flysch substrate being rich in nutrients are developed.

Floristic composition

The tree layer is relatively rich in species. Sampled stand is contacting oak-hornbeam forest, therefore, *Carpinus betulus* and *Quercus petraea* agg. accompanied by some scree species (*Tilia cordata*, *Fraxinus excelsior*) form the tree layer. Of other scree tree species, there is also *Acer pseudoplatanus* and *Ulmus glabra*, but recorded only in the herb layer. The shrub

layer is very poor. Concerning the site character, the herb layer is rich in species, mainly of those indicating well-supplied soils, e.g. *Asarum europaeum*, *Carex pilosa*, *Mercurialis perennis*, *Dentaria bulbifera*, *Aegopodium podagraria* etc. The occurrence of *Orchis pal-lens* is interesting here.

4. *Stellario-Alnetum* Lohmeyer 1957 (*Fraxineto-Alnetum* according to Zlatník (1959))

Site conditions

Such stands occupy inundations along the streams being flooded during spring mainly. Of the soils, Gleyic Fluvisols and Gleysols are to be found here.

Floristic composition

Black alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) presents typical monodominant species forming the tree layer. The shrub layer is developed poorly. As for the herb layer, nitrophilous species prevail, such as *Mercurialis perennis*, *Salvia glutinosa*, *Asarum europaeum*, *Aegopodium podagraria*, *Stachys sylvatica*, *Paris quadrifolia* etc. Within this floodplain association, these stands present relatively xerophilous type (see Table 1).

Results and discussion

The basic results of the production-ecological measurements obtained from four studied sample plots – 1 *Carici pilosae-Carpinetum melicetosum*, 2 *Carici pilosae-Fagetum*, 3 *Aceri-Carpinetum* 4 *Stellario-Alnetum* – are summarized in Table 2, which contains the following information: type of forest community, above-belowground-total biomass in kg.ha⁻¹ and ratio aboveground/belowground (A/B) biomass.

All selected broad-leaved forests of the Zlatnícka dolina valley have relatively high number of dominant species in biomass – about five, but several of them are dominant for two communities. Only one species (*Galium odorarum*) appears in all studied communities. Grasses as *Melica uniflora*, *Melica nutans* and *Calamagrostis arundinacea* are the decisive species in the biomass of a typical oak-hornbeam forest (*Carici pilosae-Carpinetum melicetosum*). Therefore, the total biomass is also high – 1186 kg.ha⁻¹ of which the aboveground biomass is 566 kg.ha⁻¹ (a little less than belowground one – 620 kg.ha⁻¹; the ratio A/B is 0.90).

The other two communities have one or four decisive species in biomass. *Carex pilosa* is the only dominant species in the beech forest (*Carici pilosae-Fagetum*). The total biomass regarding poor cover of the herb layer is only 262 kg.ha⁻¹, ratio between aboveground/belowground biomass is slightly on the behalf of aboveground one (1.05). In the scree broad-leaved forest (*Aceri-Carpinetum*), being relatively rich in species both in tree and herb layer, *Asarum europaeum* presents major biomass species accompanied by several others (*Carex pilosa*, *Mercurialis perennis*, *Tilia cordata*). The total biomass is relatively higher, mainly belowground one – the total biomass value is 596 kg.ha⁻¹.

The richest community regarding to the herb layer is the typical black alder forest (*Stellario-Alnetum* association) with monodominant black alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) in the tree layer. In the herb layer nitrophilous species prevail, which is also visible on their higher

Table 2. Herb layer biomass of selected communities (kg.ha^{-1} , dry weight).

Species	<i>Carici pilosae-Carpinetum melicetosum</i>						<i>Carici pilosae-Fagetum</i>						<i>Aceri-Carpinetum</i>						<i>Stellario-Alnetum</i>										
	N	A	B	T	A/B	N	A	B	T	A/B	N	A	B	T	A/B	N	A	B	T	A/B	N	A	B	T	A/B				
Dominant species																													
<i>Calamagrostis arundinacea</i>	696	117	126	243	0.92																								
<i>Melitis meliosphyllum</i>	10	7	16	23	0.43																								
<i>Carex montana</i>	166	5	37	42	0.14																								
<i>Ligustrum vulgare</i>	4	19	94	113	0.20																								
<i>Poa nemoralis</i>	342	28	8	36	3.56																								
<i>Impatiens parviflora</i>	72	24	2	26	13.56																								
<i>Melica nutans</i>	444	103	57	160	1.79																								
<i>Melica uniflora</i>	1146	81	95	176	0.85																								
<i>Quercus petraea</i> agg.	258	112	110	222	1.01																								
<i>Carpinus betulus</i>	18	27	19	46	1.37																								
<i>Tilia cordata</i>	8	5	4	9	1.63																								
<i>Gaium odoratum</i>	124	10	15	25	0.6																								
<i>Carex pilosa</i>																													
<i>Asarum europaeum</i>																													
<i>Mercurialis perennis</i>																													
<i>Galeobdolon luteum</i>																													
<i>Aegopodium podagraria</i>																													
<i>Salvia glutinosa</i>																													
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>																													
Other species																													
<i>Festuca heterophylla</i>	164	1	3	4	0.15																								
<i>Dactylis polygama</i>	20	3	3	6	1.0																								
<i>Pulmonaria obscura</i>	14	3	3	6	1.0																								

Table 2.(Continued)

<i>Viola reichenbachiana</i>	16	4	6	10	0.65															
<i>Lathyrus vernus</i>	10	3	9	12	0.33															
<i>Fragaria vesca</i>	4	1	1	2	1.0															
<i>Lathyrus niger</i>	6	2	6	8	0.4															
<i>Gallium schultesii</i>	8	1	1	2	1.0															
<i>Symphytum tuberosum</i>	2	1	1	2	1.0															
<i>Crataegus monogyna</i>	2	7	4	11	1.76															
<i>Veronica officinalis</i>						2	1	1	2	1.0										
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>						24	9	9	18	1.0										
<i>Dentaria bulbifera</i>						2	1	1	2	1.0										
<i>Tithymalus amygdaloides</i>											48	12	4	16	3.0					
<i>Oxalis acetosella</i>											222	3	4	7	0.82	12	1	1	2	
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>											44	8	7	15	1.21					
<i>Paris quadrifolia</i>											2	2	4	1.0	10	8	12	20	0.64	
<i>Hacquetia epipactis</i>											34	3	12	15	0.4	36	4	1	5	
<i>Brachypodium sylvaticum</i>															2	1	1	2		
<i>Geranium robertianum</i>															14	1	1	2		
<i>Glechoma hederacea</i>															18	7	8	15		
<i>Urtica dioica</i>															4	3	2	5		
<i>Rubus caesius</i>															2	2	6	8		
<i>Arum alpinum</i>															-	1490	637	1005	1641	
Summary	3534	566	620	1186	-	566	134	128	262	-	1472	242	349	596	-					

Legend: A – aboveground biomass, B – belowground biomass, T – total biomass (A+B), R – ratio aboveground/belowground biomass (A/B), N – number of individuals in 103·ha⁻¹

biomass values. The main dominant is *Mercurialis perennis* with some other ones: *Galeobdolon luteum*, *Aegopodium podagraria*, *Salvia glutinosa*, *Asarum europaeum*. The total biomass values are high, which corresponds with similar values obtained in other alder communities in Slovakia (Kubíček, 1983). Belowground biomass is prevailing; the total biomass value is 1641 kg.ha⁻¹.

The total aboveground herb layer biomass of these broad-leaved forests is comparable with our previous results from Slovakia as follows: the Borská nižina lowland (Šomšák, Kubíček 1995, oak-lime tree forests, 361 kg.ha⁻¹, Šomšák, Kubíček, 2000, *Frangulo alni-Quercetum robori-petraea*, *Convallario-Quercetum*, 310–870 kg.ha⁻¹, Kubíček et al., 2006 birch-oak and birch-alder forests, 1664–2797 kg.ha⁻¹, Kollár et al., 2008, oak forests, 107–335 kg.ha⁻¹), the Malé Karpaty Mts (Kubíček, Jurko, 1975, oak-hornbeam or mixed oak forests, 403–768 kg.ha⁻¹, alder forests, 1364 kg.ha⁻¹, Kubíček, 1983, alder forests 1014 kg.ha⁻¹, oak-hornbeam and mixed oak forests, 406–1385 kg.ha⁻¹, scree forests, 153–848 kg.ha⁻¹, beech forests, 58–492 kg.ha⁻¹) the Silická planina plateau (Jurko, Kubíček, 1979, oak and mixed oak forests, 403–768 kg.ha⁻¹), the Báb forest (Kubíček, 1983, oak-hornbeam and mixed oak forest, 372–506 kg.ha⁻¹, scree forest 476 kg.ha⁻¹). Some differences in biomass values depend on different floristic structure of the compared communities.

Similar data on deciduous forest ecosystem herb layer production were published by mainly Polish (Kazmierczaková, 1971; Jankowska, 1978; Banasik, 1978; Izdebski et al., 1974 Tumidajowicz, 1976 and others) and Hungarian (Jakucs, Papp, 1974; Isépy, 1976; Papp, 1977) authors.

Translated by F. Kubíček and J. Kollár

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Slovak Grant Agency for Science (VEGA) – Grant No. 2/0027/08 for partial supporting this work.

References

- Banasik, J., 1978: Seasonal dynamic and biomass production in two hornbeam forest stands (in Polish). *Studia Nat. – Seria A*, 14: 67–134.
Braun-Blanquet, J., 1964: *Pflanzensoziologie. Gründzuge der Vegetationskunde*. 3. Aufl. Springer-Verlag.
Dostál, J., Červenka, M., 1991: The great key for determination of plants (in Slovak). Part I. SPN, Bratislava, 775 pp.
Dostál, J., Červenka, M., 1992: The great key for determination of plants (in Slovak). Part II. SPN, Bratislava, p. 787–1561.
Isépy, I., 1976: Phytomass production in hornbeam forest herb layer (in Hungarian). *Bot. Közlem*, 63: 205–212.
Izdebski, K., Baszynski, T., Kozak, K., 1974: Research of Carpathian beech forest biomass production in Obrocz na Roztocu Środkowym Natural Reserve (in Polish). *Ann. Univ. M.-Curie Skłod.*, Sectio C, 29: 281–332.
Jakucs, P., Papp, M., 1974: Production investigation of the undergrowth (herbaceous layer) of a *Quercetum petraeae-cerris* forest ecosystem. *Acta Bot. Akad. Sci. Hung.*, 20: 295–308.
Jankowska, K., 1978: Herb layer biomass production of the hornbeam forest in Pusza Niepolomicka region, a new method for herb layer estimation (in Polish). *Studia Nat. – Seria A*, 14: 135–144.

- Jurko, A., Kubíček, F., 1979: Ökologische Analyse der Waldgesellschaften des Silica Plateaus. Biol. Práce, 25, p. 91–201.
- Kazmierczakowa, R., 1971: Oak and hornbeam forest ecology and biomass production in Kwiatowska and Lipny Dól Natural reserves (in Polish). Studia Nat. – Seria A, 5, 122 pp.
- Kollár, J., Kubíček, F., Šimonovič, V., 2008: Ecological analysis of the oak forests on the Morava river terrace. Ekológia (Bratislava), 27: 379–385.
- Kubíček, F., Brechtl, J., 1970: Production and phenology of the herb layer in an oak-hornbeam forest. Biológia (Bratislava), 25: 651–666.
- Kubíček, F., Jurko, A., 1975: Estimation of above-ground biomass of the herb layer in forest communities. Folia Geobot. Phytotax., 10: 113–129.
- Kubíček, F., Šomšák, L., 1982: The herb layer production of fir forests in the eastern part of the Slovenské Rudohorie Mountains. Biol. Práce, 28, p. 52–178.
- Kubíček, F., 1983: Production-ecological study of the herb layer biomass in forest communities (in Slovak). DrSc. Thesis, Bratislava, 333 pp.
- Kubíček, F., Šimonovič, V., Kollár, J., Kanka, R., 2006: Production ecology of some rare forest communities on the Borská nížina lowland. Ekológia (Bratislava), 25: 335–340.
- Papp, M., 1977: Changes in the phytomass and production of the herbaceous layer in the *Quercetum petraeae-cerris* forest after selecting by forester. Acta Bot. Acad. Sci. Hung., 23: 179–192.
- Šomšák, L., Kubíček, F., 1995: Phytocoenological and production evaluation of the original and secondary pine forest of the Borská nížina lowland. II. Alliance *Carpinion*. Ekológia (Bratislava), 14: 247–259.
- Šomšák, L., Kubíček, F., 2000: Phytocoenological and production evaluation of the original and secondary pine forests of the Borská nížina lowland. III: Alliance *Potentillo albae-Quercion petraeae* Zol. et Jak. 1967. Ekológia (Bratislava), 19: 54–63.
- Tumidajowicz, D., 1976: Biomass dynamics and primary production of the herb layer in a beech forest Fagetum carpaticum in the Gora Mts. Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., 24: 341–348.
- Zlatník, A., 1959: Review of the Slovak forests according to group of forest types (in Czech). Spisy Vedecké laboratoře biocenologie a typologie lesa LF VSŽ, Brno, 3, 195 pp.